

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/611,653 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WAJSZCZUK ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>San-ming Hui      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1617                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Christian Smolizza.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 April 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The instant case was transferred back to Pfizer and Mr. Smolizza is the attorney who is in charged of the application. Mr. Smolizza informed the examienr that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed September 26, 2006*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 4/14/07  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)